

Mean-variance portfolio selection with random parameters

Andrew Lim
IEOR Department
U.C. Berkeley

February 4, 2003

Market models with random parameters

$$\begin{cases} dS_0(t) = r(t)S_0(t)dt \\ dS_i(t) = \mu(t)S_i(t)dt + S_i(t)\sigma(t)dW(t) \end{cases}$$

• Incomplete market

- $(m + 1)$ assets: $S_0(t), S_1(t), \dots, S_m(t)$
- $(m + d)$ sources of uncertainty ($d \geq 0$)

$$\overline{W}(t) \triangleq (W(t), B(t))$$

• Random parameter models

- volatility smile; leptokurtic distribution.
- more accurate but difficult to use.

Random parameters:

- Stochastic parameter models:

$$r(t, \omega) = r(t, y(t)), \quad \mu(t, \omega) = \mu(t, y(t)), \quad \sigma(t, \omega) = \sigma(t, y(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = \alpha(t, y(t))dt + \beta(t, y(t))d\bar{W}(t) \\ y(0) = y \end{cases}$$

e.g. Hull & White (1987), Stein & Stein (1991), Heston (1993)

- Parameter estimation:

$\mu(t), \sigma(t)$ estimated online from observations.

(Joint work with Xin Guo).

Example: Partially observed liabilities/contingent claims

Market model:

$$\begin{cases} dS_0(t) = r(t)S_0(t)dt \\ dS_i(t) = \mu_i(t)S_i(t)dt + S_i(t)\sigma_i(t)dW(t) \end{cases}$$

$W(t)$ an n -dim S.B.M. ($m \leq n$) with $F_t = \sigma\{W(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$.

Liability/Contingent claim

(F_t -measurable) liability/contingent claim ξ with
payoff $\xi f(V(T))$

e.g. $\xi = g(S(T))$

where:

$$\begin{cases} V(t) = e^{Y(t)} \\ Y(t) = v + \gamma N(t) \end{cases}$$

Observations:

$$H(t) = \int_0^t C(s)Y(s)ds + \int_0^t \eta(s)dM(s)$$

Assume:

$$v \sim N(v_0, \Sigma_0);$$

$v, W(t), M(t), N(t)$ mutually independent

$\eta(t)$ invertible

Kalman Filtering

Given observations $H(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t$: $Y(t) \sim N(\hat{Y}(t), \Sigma(t))$

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{Y}(t) = \Sigma(t)C(t)'(\eta(t)\eta(t)')^{-1}\eta(t)dQ(t) \\ \hat{Y}(0) = v_0 \\ \dot{\Sigma}(t) = \gamma(t)\gamma(t)' - \Sigma(t)C(t)'(\eta(t)\eta(t)')^{-1}C(t)\Sigma(t) \\ \Sigma(0) = \Sigma_0 \end{cases}$$

$Q(t)$ (available from observations) given by:

$$Q(t) := \int_0^t \eta(s)^{-1} [dH(s) - C(s)\hat{Y}(s)ds]$$

$Q(t)$ and $W(t)$ are mutually indep. S.B.M. which generate

$$G_t := \sigma\{(W(s), H(s)), 0 \leq s \leq t\} = \sigma\{(W(s), Q(s)), 0 \leq s \leq t\}.$$

Optimal hedging of partially observed liabilities

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\pi} E[(x(T) - \xi f(V(T)))^2] \\ & \equiv \min_{\pi} E \left[\left(x(T) - \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2\pi\Sigma(T)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(e^x) e^{-\frac{(x-\hat{Y}(T))^2}{2\Sigma(T)}} dx \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = [r(t)x(t) + (\mu(t) - r(t)1)' \pi(t)]dt + \pi(t)' \sigma(t) dW(t) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

$\pi(\cdot)$ adapted to G_t .

Equivalent to problem with 'complete information'

Reference:

A.E.B. Lim. Partially observed contingent claims.

Working paper, IEOR Dept, U.C. Berkeley, 2003.

Basic issues

- How should we do portfolio selection when the parameters in the market model are stochastic processes?
- We examine this issue by studying the problem of mean-variance portfolio selection in continuous time using the framework of stochastic control and backward stochastic differential equations.

References

1. A.E.B. Lim & X.Y. Zhou. Mean-variance portfolio selection with random parameters in a complete market. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp 101-120, 2002.
2. A.E.B. Lim. Quadratic hedging and mean-variance portfolio selection with random parameters in an incomplete market, 2002.
(Accepted for publication in *Mathematics of Operations Research*).
3. A.E.B. Lim. Partially observed contingent claims. Working paper, IEOR Dept, U.C. Berkeley, 2003.

Basic setup

Complete probability space (Ω, F, P)

Standard $(m + d)$ – dimensional Brownian motion on $[0, T]$:

$$\overline{W}(t) := (W(t), B(t)).$$

$\{F_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ the filtration generated by $\overline{W}(t)$, augmented by the P -null sets of F .

Outline

1. Motivations.
2. Backward stochastic differential equations.
 - Nonlinear BSDEs.
 - Conditions for solvability.
3. Mean-variance problem.
4. Main results.
5. Conclusion.

Nonlinear BSDEs: General results

- Pardoux & Peng (1990), Duffie & Epstein (1992).

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = f(t, x(t), z(t))dt + z(t)d\bar{W}(t) \\ x(T) = \xi \end{cases}$$

ξ an F_T -measurable, square integrable r.v.

- A solution is a **pair** of square integrable, adapted processes $(x(t), z(t))$ which satisfy the SDE.
- Solvability under similar conditions as (forward) SDEs:
 - **global Lipschitz continuity.**
 - **linear growth conditions.**
 - ...
- Proof based on a fix point theorem.

Example: Contingent claim replication

Random liability ξ at time T .

(F_T – measurable, square integrable r.v.).

e.g. Lookback option: $\xi = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t)$

Stock and bond:

$$\begin{cases} dS_1(t) = S_1(t)[\mu(t)dt + \sigma(t)dW(t)] \\ dS_0(t) = r(t)S_0(t)dt \end{cases}$$

Is there a way to invest in the stock market so that the total earnings (from trading) will cover the liability?

i.e. find an investment rule $\pi(\cdot)$ such that the resulting wealth process $x(\cdot)$ satisfies $x(T) = \xi$.

Written in mathematics ...

Find a **pair** of processes $(x(\cdot), \pi(\cdot))$ which are adapted, square integrable and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = [r(t)x(t) + (\mu(t) - r(t)1)' \pi(t)dt + \pi(t)' \sigma(t)dW(t) \\ x(T) = \xi \end{cases}$$

Can this be done?

Girsanov transformation + Martingale Rep. Theorem

\Rightarrow existence and uniqueness.

If ξ and/or $r(\cdot)$ are random, generally need $\pi \neq 0$.

Outline

1. Motivations.
2. Backward stochastic differential equations.
3. Mean-variance problem.
 - formulation
 - solvability of the stochastic Riccati equation
(complete & incomplete markets).
4. Main results.
5. Conclusion.

Mean-variance portfolio selection: Problem statement

For a given initial wealth x_0 , find an investment portfolio $\pi(\cdot)$ that results in an expected return of c with minimum variance. Markowitz (1952).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{\pi(\cdot) \in L_F^2} \text{Var } x(T) \equiv E(x(T) - c)^2 \\ \text{subject to:} \\ dx(t) = [r(t)x(t) + (\mu(t) - r(t)1)' \pi(t)dt + \pi(t)' \sigma(t)dW(t)] \\ x(0) = x_0 \\ Ex(T) = c \end{array} \right.$$

The key to solving the m-v- problem is the following dynamic optimization problem.

$$\begin{cases} \min_{\pi} E[(x(T) - c)^2 + \lambda(x(T) - c)] \\ dx(t) = [r(t)x(t) + (\mu(t) - r(t)1)' \pi(t)dt + \pi(t)' \sigma(t)dW(t)] \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman PDE can not be used when $r(\cdot), \mu(\cdot), \sigma(\cdot)$ are random processes.

Sufficient condition for solvability and expression for optimal policy $\pi(\cdot)$ obtained by using:

'completion of squares' + BSDEs

Stochastic Riccati Equation

- Sufficient condition for solvability of m-v problem is existence and uniqueness of solutions of SRE:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dp(t) = -[A(t)p(t) + B(t)\Lambda(t) - \frac{1}{p(t)} \Lambda_1(t)' \Lambda_1(t)]dt + \Lambda(t)' d\bar{W}(t) \\ p(T) = 1 \\ p(t) > 0, \forall t \in [0, T) \end{array} \right.$$

Complete solution of the mvp is given in terms of solution $(p(\cdot), \Lambda(\cdot))$ of SRE.

- **Nonlinear, singular BSDE** which is **neither globally Lipschitz continuous** nor **linearly growing**.
i.e. usual existence and uniqueness results do not apply.

Is the SRE solvable? (Complete market case)

Theorem 1: When the market is complete, the SRE has a unique solution.

Lim & Zhou (2001)

Key observation in proof: $1/p(t)$ is the 'solution' of a linear BSDE.

1. Linear BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = -[-A(t)y(t) + B(t)z(t)]dt + z(t)'dW(t) \\ y(T) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Existence & uniqueness of $(y(\cdot), z(\cdot))$ follows from standard theory.

In addition $y(t) \geq \delta > 0, \forall t \in [0, T]$.

2. Stochastic Riccati equation: Define

$$(p(t), \Lambda(t)) := \left(\frac{1}{y(t)}, -\frac{z(t)}{y(t)^2} \right)$$

Ito's formula

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} dp(t) = -[A(t)p(t) + B(t)\Lambda(t) - \frac{1}{p(t)} \Lambda(t)' \Lambda(t)]dt + \Lambda(t)' dW(t) \\ p(T) = 1 \end{cases}$$

which is the original SRE.

Is the SRE solvable? (Incomplete market case)

Theorem 2: Under ‘standard assumptions’, the SRE associated with the m-v problem has a unique solution.

Lim (2002).

M. Kobylanski, Annals of Probability (2000):

$$\begin{cases} dp(t) = -[A(t)p(t) + B(t)\Lambda(t) - \frac{1}{R(t)}\Lambda(t)'Q(t)\Lambda(t)]dt + \Lambda(t)'d\bar{W}(t) \\ p(T) = 1 \end{cases}$$

SRE corresponds to the case $R(t) = p(t)$.

Iteration:

$$R(t) = p_0(t) \rightarrow (p_1, \Lambda_1) \rightarrow (p_2, \Lambda_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

Solution of SRE:

$$(p, \Lambda) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (p_k, \Lambda_k)$$

(Under certain sufficient conditions on p_0)

Outline

1. Motivations.
2. Backward stochastic differential equations.
3. Mean-variance problem.
4. Main results.
 - optimal policy & efficient frontier.
 - numerical solutions.
5. Conclusion.

Optimal policy & efficient frontier: Complete market

Risk-free security: $(g(\cdot), \zeta(\cdot))$ the solution of:

$$\begin{cases} dg(t) = \{r(t)g(t) + [\mu(t) - r(t)]'(\sigma(t)^{-1})'\zeta(t)\}dt + \zeta(t)'dW(t) \\ g(T) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$g(\cdot) \equiv$ security that pays \$1 at time T.

$(\sigma(t)^{-1})'\zeta(t) \equiv$ associated investment policy.

For any c, define:

$$k = \frac{c - p(0)g(0)x_0}{1 - p(0)g(0)^2} > 0$$

Optimal policy:

$$\pi^*(t) = (\sigma(t)^{-1})' \left[\theta(t) + \frac{\Lambda(t)}{p(t)} \right] (kg(t) - x(t)) + k(\sigma(t)^{-1})' \zeta(t)$$

Efficient frontier:

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}(T)}^- = \sqrt{\frac{p(0)g(0)^2}{1 - p(0)g(0)^2}} \left[E\bar{x}(T) - \frac{x_0}{g(0)} \right]$$

Optimal policy & efficient frontier: Incomplete market

Risk-free security in fictitiously completed market:

$$\begin{cases} dg(t) = [r(t)g(t) + \bar{\theta}(t)' \zeta(t)]dt + \zeta(t)' d\bar{W}(t) \\ g(T) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{\theta}(t)' = \left[(\mu(t) - r(t)\mathbf{1})'(\sigma(t)^{-1}), \frac{\Lambda_2(t)'}{p(t)} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma(t)^{-1} \zeta_1(t) \\ \frac{1}{p(t)} \zeta_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \text{Hedging portfolio for riskless security in the fictitious market that pays \$1.}$$

For any given c :

$$k \triangleq \frac{c - p(0)g(0)x_0}{1 - M - p(0)g(0)^2}$$

$$M \triangleq E \int_0^T p \zeta [I - \sigma'(\sigma\sigma')^{-1}\sigma] \zeta dt$$

Optimal policy:

$$\pi^*(t) = (\sigma(t)^{-1})' \left[\theta(t) + \frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{p(t)} \right] (kg(t) - x(t)) + k(\sigma(t)^{-1})' \zeta_1(t)$$

Efficient frontier:

$$(\sigma_{\bar{x}(T)})^2 = \alpha \left[E \bar{x}(T) - \frac{p(0)g(0)}{M + p(0)g(0)^2} x_0 \right]^2 + \beta$$

Example: Stochastic volatility models of Hull & White (1987), Stein & Stein (1991), Heston (1993).

$$\begin{cases} dS_1(t) = S_1(t) [\mu(t)dt + \sigma(t)dW(t)] \\ dS_0(t) = r(t)S_0(t)dt \end{cases}$$

$$r(t, \omega) \triangleq r(t, y(t)), \quad \mu(t, \omega) \triangleq \mu(t, y(t)), \quad \sigma(t, \omega) \triangleq \sigma(t, y(t))$$

$$\theta(t, \omega) \triangleq \frac{\mu(t, y(t)) - r(t, y(t))}{\sigma(t, y(t))}$$

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = \alpha(t, y(t))dt + \beta(t, y(t))dB(t) \\ y(0) = y \end{cases}$$

Linear parabolic PDE:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Z_t + (2r - |\theta|^2)(t, y)Z + \alpha(t, y)Z_y + \frac{1}{2}\beta(t, y)^2 Z_{yy} = 0 \\ y \in R, 0 \leq t < T \\ Z(T, y) = 1. \end{array} \right.$$

Solution of SRE:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(t, \omega) = Z(t, y(t)) \\ \left[\begin{array}{l} \Lambda_1(t, \omega) \\ \Lambda_2(t, \omega) \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ Z_y(t, y(t))\beta(t, y(t)) \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right.$$

Conclusions

- Market models with random parameters.
- Solvability of the stochastic Riccati equation (complete & incomplete markets).
- Optimal portfolio and efficient frontier.
- Related research:
 - constrained portfolios.
 - partially observed liabilities.
 - online parameter estimation and portfolio choice (with X. Guo)
 - nonlinear utility/loss functions.